

Water Key Performance Indicators and benchmarks for offices and hotels

R Waggett

Faber Maunsell

C Arotsky

Rickaby Thompson Associates



CIRIA *sharing knowledge ■ building best practice*

Classic House, 174–180 Old Street, London EC1V 9BP

TELEPHONE 020 7549 3300 FAX 020 7253 0523

EMAIL enquiries@ciria.org

WEBSITE www.ciria.org

Summary

With the increasing requirement to safeguard water supplies in the UK and implement sustainable water use, managers of offices and hotels need to know what the indicators are of national trends and what benchmarks are appropriate for their buildings.

This report describes a study that has used data from a number of water companies to establish the ranges of usage at present and to set benchmarks for better practice. It will enable managers to understand whether their office or hotel is performing well or poorly in comparison with other similar buildings in the UK. Guidance is provided on actions that can be taken to reduce usage. It will also inform designers about target usage and will be of value to those who wish to carry out similar benchmarking studies and future researchers.

Water Key Performance Indicators and benchmarks for offices and hotels

Waggett, R; Arotsky C

CIRIA

Publication C657 © CIRIA 2006 RP647 ISBN 0-86017-657-6
978-0-86017-657-2

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record is available for this book from the British Library.

Keywords Water resources, sustainable resource use, water infrastructure, facilities management, internal environment, environmental good practice, sustainable construction, building technology	
Reader interest Facility managers, building owners and developers, employers, water engineers and advisors, water undertakers, regulatory bodies, surveyors, architects, designers	Classification AVAILABILITY Unrestricted CONTENT Advice/guidance STATUS Committee-guided USER Asset holders, water undertakers, facility managers, consultants, regulators

Published by CIRIA, Classic House, 174–180 Old Street, London EC1V 9BP, UK.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying and recording, without the written permission of the copyright-holder, application for which should be addressed to the publisher. Such written permission must also be obtained before any part of this publication is stored in a retrieval system of any nature.

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold and/or distributed with the understanding that neither the author(s) nor the publisher is thereby engaged in rendering a specific legal or any other professional service. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy and completeness of the publication, no warranty or fitness is provided or implied, and the author(s) and publisher shall have neither liability nor responsibility to any person or entity with respect to any loss or damage arising from its use.

Acknowledgements

Research contractor Faber Maunsell

Lead author **Rachel Waggett BSc (Hons)**

Rachel is an associate director in Faber Maunsell's Sustainable Development Group. She is a sustainability advisor with more than ten years experience specialising in providing water conservation advice for commercial and residential buildings. Previous publications on subjects including water conservation, greywater and rainwater reuse are augmented by regular participation in the design of water facilities in new and refurbished buildings of all types.

Co-author **Catherine Arotzky, BEng (Hons), MSc, CEng, MEI**

Catherine is a senior consultant at Rickaby Thompson Associates. She has over seven years experience as a sustainability adviser advising on energy and environment in buildings and has particular expertise in monitoring buildings and analysing data. Catherine is a member of the Energy Institute, and has worked extensively with housing associations and local authorities, undertaking specification reviews, providing energy efficiency and sustainability advice, and monitoring innovative technologies.

Steering group Following CIRIA's usual practice, the research project was guided by a Steering Group which comprised:

Chair Martin Osborne Ewan Group
(formerly Earth Tech Engineering)

Members

Darren Cook	Hilton Hotels Group
David Calderbank	Environment Agency
Alan Daw <i>(previously Sue Craddock)</i>	Thames Water
Nigel Foster	Bournemouth and West Hampshire Water
Meyrick Gough <i>(previously Magda Styles)</i>	Southern Water
Gordon Hall	Dwr Cymru
Adrian Jackson-Robbins <i>(previously Lawrence Mbugwa)</i>	Davis Langdon Consultancy (for DTI)
Ian Newberry	Three Valleys Water
Clare Ridgewell <i>(previously Sarah Bowerman)</i>	Essex and Suffolk Water
Sue Roaf	Oxford Brookes University
Martin Shouler	Arup (formerly BRE)
Simon Walster <i>(previously Dene Bridge and Nicola Simpson)</i>	Ofwat
Jon Wood	South West Water
Alastair Wright	Scottish Water Solutions (formerly East of Scotland Water)

CIRIA's research manager for this project was Paul Shaffer.

Project funders

The project was funded by:

Bournemouth and West Hampshire Water

DTI Partners in Innovation

Scottish Water (formerly East of Scotland Water)

Environment Agency

Essex and Suffolk Water

Ofwat

Southern Water

South West Water

Vivendi

Welsh Water

Executive summary

Increasingly, there is a requirement to safeguard water supplies in the UK and implement sustainable water use. Practitioners working to advise the office and hotel sectors on reductions in water consumption had identified a lack of suitable benchmarking information that would allow a simple method of comparing the water consumption of a particular property with national trends.

This report describes how suitable benchmarks have been found for water use in offices and hotels across England and Wales that will enable managers to understand whether their property is performing well or poorly in comparison with other similar buildings in the UK. It will also inform designers about target usage.

This detailed report should be read by those who are interested in how the research was carried out, or who wish to carry out similar benchmarking studies. It also includes details of the information gained by the research team that may be of use to future researchers.

Guidance reports

For those who do not require the detail in this report, the benchmarks and some guidance to assist improvement in water consumption have been provided in separate guidance reports freely available to download from the internet:

W10 – *Key Performance Indicators for water use in hotels*
www.ciria.org/downloads/01/w010.pdf

W11 – *Key Performance Indicators for water use in offices*
www.ciria.org/downloads/01/w011.pdf

CIRIA encourages wide dissemination of these guidance reports.

The Key Performance Indicator chosen for hotels is **cubic metres of water per bedspace per annum**. Although the occupancy rate for the hotel is the main defining factor for water consumption, it was found that few small and medium sized hotels (the main target of this research) have the facility to easily collect guest night information. Subsequently, bedspaces were used as a proxy.

The analysis showed a major correlation between the “star” rating of the hotel and the water consumption. However, “star” rating is a voluntary exercise and many hotels choose not to have “star” ratings. In this case they have been grouped as “other” hotels.

Key Performance Indicators for offices have been provided both for water consumption in **cubic metres per person per year**, and **cubic metres per square metre per year**. Occupancy was again thought to be the main determinant of water consumption, but it was recognised that occupancy can be a difficult and ambiguous figure to find for a typical office building. The alternative was to define a KPI based on floor area, recognising that this is not as accurate a measure, but one that can be readily determined.

Suitable benchmarks have been compiled from this research project for office and hotel buildings across the UK. However the available data was limited and CIRIA and the sponsoring water companies hope that by making the data available with this report (see the attached CD-ROM at the back of the book), others will be able to build on the current research and refine the proposed benchmarks.

CONTENTS

Summary	2
Acknowledgements	3
Executive summary	5
List of figures	7
List of tables	8
Glossary	9
1 Introduction and background	10
1.1 The project need	10
1.2 Who should read this report?	11
1.3 Guidance report	11
1.4 Definitions of benchmarking and Key Performance Indicators ...	11
2 Summary of key points	13
2.1 Summary of proposed benchmarks and Key Performance Indicators	13
2.1.1 Summary of hotels sector	13
2.1.2 Summary of offices sector	14
2.2 Further work/lessons learned	15
2.2.1 Further work	15
2.2.2 Lessons learned	16
3 Methodology	18
3.1 Developing the Key Performance Indicators	19
3.2 Obtaining data	20
3.3 Data manipulation and cleaning	21
3.4 Benchmarks	21
3.5 Analysis	21
3.6 Lessons learned	22
3.6.1 Obtaining and cleaning water company data	22
3.6.2 Correlation between benchmarks	24
4 Benchmarking hotels	25
4.1 Water use in hotels	25
4.1.1 Thames Water	25
4.1.2 Welsh hotels water demand management	26
4.1.3 International Hotels Environment Initiative (IHEI)	26
4.2 Defining a Key Performance Indicator	26
4.3 Other water consumption variables	28
4.4 Obtaining data	29
4.4.1 Separating data into water company areas	29
4.5 Hotel data analysis	30
4.5.1 Initial correlation and aggregated analysis	31

4.5.2	Dividing hotels into types for further analysis	32
4.6	Hotel water consumption by star rating	34
4.7	Hotel water consumption by star rating and presence of swimming pool	35
4.8	Hotels with swimming pools by category	38
4.9	Recommended hotel benchmarks	42
5	Benchmarking offices	46
5.1	Water use in offices	46
5.1.1	Thames Water	46
5.1.2	Government estate	47
5.1.3	Watermark	47
5.2	Defining a Key Performance Indicator	47
5.3	Other water consumption variables	48
5.4	Obtaining data	49
5.5	Office data analysis (floor area)	50
5.6	Office data analysis (occupancy)	51
5.6.1	The method of calculating personnel numbers	53
5.6.2	The type of building used in the research	54
5.7	Recommended office benchmarks	55
7	References	56

Figures

Figure 3.1	Project methodology	18
Figure 4.1	Chart showing frequency distribution of water consumption per bedspace for consumption in 2002	32
Figure 4.2	Chart showing frequency distribution of water consumption for hotels with swimming pools for consumption in 2002	33
Figure 4.3	Chart showing the frequency distribution of water consumption per bedspace for those hotels without swimming pools for 2002	33
Figure 4.4	Water consumption of hotels up to three star rating	34
Figure 4.5	Water consumption of four and five star hotels	34
Figure 4.6	Chart showing frequency distribution of water consumption per bedspace for “other” category hotels	35
Figure 4.7	Frequency distribution of water consumption (m ³) per bedspace for category 1 hotels	36
Figure 4.8	Frequency distribution of water consumption (m ³) per bedspace for category 2 hotels	37
Figure 4.9	Frequency distribution of water consumption (m ³) per bedspace for category 3 hotels	38
Figure 4.10	Frequency distribution of water consumption (m ³) per bedspace of hotels with no stars and with swimming pools	39
Figure 4.11	Chart showing frequency distribution for water consumption per bedspace for hotels with no star and with no swimming pool for consumption in 2002	39
Figure 4.12	Chart showing frequency distribution for water consumption per bedspace for hotels with one star and no swimming pool for consumption in 2002	40

Figure 4.13	Chart showing the frequency distribution of water consumption in hotels with two or three stars with swimming pool for consumption in 2002	40
Figure 4.14	Chart showing frequency distribution of water consumption per bedspace for hotels with two or three stars without a swimming pool for consumption in 2002	41
Figure 4.15	Chart showing frequency distribution of water consumption per bedspace for hotels with four or five stars and a swimming pool for consumption in 2002	41
Figure 4.16	Chart showing frequency distribution of water consumption per bedspace for hotels with four or five stars and no swimming pool for consumption in 2002	42
Figure 4.17	Chart showing benchmarks for each category of hotel without pools . .	43
Figure 4.18	Chart showing benchmarks for each category of hotel with pools . . .	43
Figure 4.19	Chart showing CIRIA benchmarks for hotels with pools against Thames Water study benchmarks.	44
Figure 5.1	Chart showing frequency distribution for consumption by floor area . .	51
Figure 5.2	Chart showing frequency distribution for consumption per total employee.	52

Tables

Table 2.1	Benchmarks for hotels with swimming pools	13
Table 2.2	Benchmarks for hotels without swimming pools	14
Table 2.3	Benchmarks for offices	14
Table 3.1	Preferred sample size for analysis	20
Table 3.2	Standards of water usage for benchmarking	21
Table 4.1	Thames Water hotels benchmarks	25
Table 4.2	Water companies and hotels allocated to each	30
Table 4.3	Hotel sample sizes	31
Table 4.4	Overall hotel water usage	32
Table 4.5	Benchmarking outputs for hotels	42
Table 4.6	Recommended benchmarks for hotels with swimming pools	44
Table 4.7	Recommended benchmarks for hotels without swimming pools	45
Table 5.1	Thames Water study outputs for offices	46
Table 5.2	Water companies and offices allocated to each	50
Table 5.3	Recommended benchmarks for offices by floor area	51
Table 5.4	Recommended benchmarks for offices by employee numbers	53
Table 5.5	Table showing comparison of proposed benchmark and other research benchmarks	53
Table 5.6	Recommended combined office benchmarks	55

Glossary

Benchmark	The “best in class” level of performance achieved for a specific business process or activity. It is used as a reference for comparison in benchmarking.
Co-efficient of determination	See R-squared value below.
Correlation co-efficient	See R-squared value below.
Histogram analysis tool	This analysis tool calculates individual and cumulative frequencies for a range of data and data bins. It generates data for the number of occurrences of a value in a data set. A histogram table presents the chosen boundaries and the number of scores between the lowest bound and the current bound. The single most frequent score is the mode of the data.
Key Performance Indicator (KPI)	The measure of performance associated with an organisation’s activity or process. This information provided by a KPI can be used to determine how an organisation compares with the benchmark and is therefore a key component in an organisations move towards best practice.
R-squared value	Also known as the coefficient of determination or the correlation coefficient . An indicator that ranges in value from 0 to 1 and reveals how closely the estimated values for the trendline correspond to actual data. A trendline is most reliable when its R-squared value is at or near 1.
Regression line	See trendline.
Trendline	A graphical representation of the trend or direction of data in a series. Most valuable when at or near to 1.
Net Internal Area (NIA)	A common figure used to represent commercial space that excludes core and plant (non-lettable) space. Also known as Net Lettable Area (NLA).

1 Introduction and background

This report summarises an approach to find suitable benchmarks for water use in offices and hotels across the UK. The aim is to enable managers of offices and hotels to understand whether their property is performing well or poorly in comparison with other similar buildings in the UK, and to inform designers about target usage.

1.1 The project need

The project need was identified from the increasing requirement to safeguard water resources and supplies in the UK and implement sustainable water use. Practitioners working to advise the office and hotel sectors on reductions to water consumption had identified a shortage of useful data. In particular there was a lack of suitable benchmarking information facilitating a simple method of comparing the water consumption of a particular property with national trends.

Similar information is available for energy use in offices and other buildings, and is regularly used at both the design and operation/management phases of buildings to identify whether action is required. The aim of this project was to provide similar data for water consumption which is accessible for use by interested parties including property managers, owners and operators.

The objectives of this project were to:

- collate and analyse existing data and information that is representative of UK water use
- provide facility managers, building engineers and designers with Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) from which benchmarks for water efficiency in domestic, commercial and hotel environments can be set
- help improve water efficiency and encourage the sustainable use of water within buildings
- set up and manage a network of stakeholders – including developers, engineers, regulators, water utilities, government and consumers – to share information and disseminate guidance to end users
- make recommendations for further work.

These original objectives included the production of a KPI for commercial buildings which would include factory buildings. However this was modified following discussion with the project steering group at an early stage, given that factory process use is difficult to predict and already adequately covered by Envirowise guidance information¹. Non-process use would be essentially office based and could be dealt with by the provision of an office benchmark from this project.

It was also originally intended to include residential use within the study, but the availability of data for the residential element proved extremely problematic and it was agreed that the study should analyse just the hotels and offices sectors. These sectors are described in detail in this report.

1.2

Who should read this report?

This project report provides a detailed summary of the project methodology and results, and should be read by those who want to understand how the research was carried out, or wish to carry out similar benchmarking studies. This document also includes details of the lessons learned by the research team that it is felt will be of considerable use for future research.

The questions raised by the study will not only be of interest to those involved in benchmarking for water consumption, but many of the lessons learned are also applicable to energy benchmarking, or those involved in any type of benchmarking in the offices and hotels sectors. It is hoped that by considering these issues while planning future benchmarking studies, many of the difficulties experienced during this project can be overcome by future researchers.

This report contains the following principal sections:

Section 2: Summary of key points; summarises the main points of the study and the findings, including lessons learned.

Section 3: Methodology; outlines the method followed by the research team and the reasons for the methodology chosen, where appropriate. Describes the process of KPI development and how data was obtained and analysed. A more detailed discussion of lessons learned is also included.

Section 4: Benchmarking hotels; describes the approach taken to produce information on water consumption in hotels including details of published and unpublished studies that helped to inform the research team. Proposes benchmarks for hotels and outlines the suggested split of hotels into typologies to assist benchmarking.

Section 5: Benchmarking offices; describes the approach taken to produce benchmarks for offices including a review of benchmarks currently utilised in the offices sector. The process of obtaining and analysing data is described, and proposed benchmarks explained.

1.3

Guidance reports

For those who do not require the detail in this report, the benchmarks and some guidance to assist improvement in water consumption have also been provided as separate guidance for hotels and for offices. It is intended that the content of the guidance reports can be used by third parties within specifications, guidance documents and information leaflets, for example, and therefore wide dissemination is encouraged. Further guidance can be found in the CIRIA publications *Rainwater and greywater use in buildings. Decision-making for water conservation* (D Leggett *et al*, 2001), *Rainwater and greywater use in buildings. Best practice guidance* (D Leggett *et al* 2001) and *Sustainable water management in land use planning* (P Samuels *et al*, 2005).

The guidance reports can be downloaded from the internet at:

www.ciria.org/downloads/01/w010.pdf and www.ciria.org/downloads/01/w011.pdf

Definitions of benchmarking and Key Performance Indicators

Benchmarking involves comparing and measuring performance against others in key business activities and then using lessons learned from the best to make targeted improvements. It requires answering two questions – who is better and why are they better? With the aim of using this information to make changes that will lead to vital improvements.

Definitions of terms used within this report are contained in the glossary, but to aid understanding it is useful to define these two important terms at this point:

- a **Key Performance Indicator** (KPI) is the measure of performance associated with an activity or process. This information provided by a KPI can be used to determine how an organisation compares with the benchmark and is a key component in a move towards good practice. An example of a KPI used in this report is water consumption per employee per annum.
- a **benchmark** is a level of performance achieved for a specific business process or activity. It is used as a reference for comparison in benchmarking.

The distinction between Key Performance Indicators and benchmarks is that the KPI is the actual measure of performance whereas the benchmark is a target performance.

A CD-Rom accompanies this report which contains two excel files; one for data used in hotel analysis and the other for data in offices. All identifying information has been removed from this data following data protection requirements. However the structure of the data will enable it to be used for further analysis.

The remainder of this report discusses the results of the analyses currently performed.

2 Summary of key points

2.1 Summary of proposed benchmarks and Key Performance Indicators

2.1.1 Summary of hotels sector

The Key Performance Indicator for hotels was chosen as **cubic metres of water per bedspace per annum**. Previous studies described below found that occupancy of the hotel is the main defining factor for water consumption. It was determined that few small and medium sized hotels (the main target of this research) have the facility to easily collect guest night information (which would provide a more accurate KPI figure) therefore bedspaces were used as a proxy. More information on the selection of this benchmark is provided in Section 4.2. Cubic metres of water were used to enable easy comparison with bills.

The analysis determined that there was a significant correlation between the “star” rating of the hotel and water consumption. A concern with this system is that the star rating is a voluntary exercise and therefore many hotels do not have “star” ratings. In this case they have been grouped as “other” hotels, or those which are “undefined”. The star ratings are also awarded for hotel facilities that will not affect water use, such as disabled facilities. Nevertheless a clear correlation was found and the benchmarks were defined for each of the four categories of hotel identified, as follows:

- Category 1:** 1 star rated establishments.
Category 2: 2 or 3 star rated establishments.
Category 3: 4 or 5 star rated establishments.
Other: Undefined establishments.

Further analysis determined that there was still at least one major factor unidentified, and the analysis indicated that this could largely be accounted for by sorting the data by the availability of a swimming pool at the hotel.

Benchmarks have been split into two distinct types: those with a pool and those without. They have further been split into the respective category of hotel. The benchmark figures shown below have also been rounded to provide ease of use.

Table 2.1 Benchmarks for hotels with swimming pools

Category	Hotel rating	Benchmarks (m ³ /bedspace/annum)		
		Best practice	Typical	Above average
Cat 1*	1 star	9	25	60
Cat 2	2 or 3 star	20	60	185
Cat 3	4 or 5 star	60	130	220
Other	No rating	40	90	170

* These figures have been derived as there were no category one hotels with pools

Table 2.2 Benchmarks for hotels without swimming pools

Category	Hotel rating	Benchmarks (m ³ /bedspace/annum)		
		Best practice	Typical	Above average
Cat 1	1 star	5	10	15
Cat 2	2 or 3 star	10	20	50
Cat 3	4 or 5 star	15	30	65
Other	No rating	10	30	70

2.1.2 Summary of offices sector

The KPI for the offices sector was difficult to define. There was a conflict between two of the essential components of a KPI; namely the need for the information to define it being easily available and unambiguous, and the need to reflect the main defining factor of an office building. In this case, the main defining factor was thought to be occupancy, but for various reasons it was realised that this is a difficult and ambiguous figure to find for a typical office building. The alternative KPI was office floor area, but this is not as accurate a measure as occupancy. Further details of the pros and cons of each KPI are provided in Section 5.2.

It was decided that both indicators should be tested by analysis to see which was the more appropriate: **water consumption in m³ per person per year** or **water consumption in m³ per square metre per year**. There was a preference for benchmarks to be stated in addition, as litres per day. To calculate the number of litres per day, a business year of 253 days was assumed, excluding weekends and bank holidays.

Analysis determined that both of these indicators had a strong correlation with the water consumption of the office buildings analysed, and both were suitable indicators. Analysis showed that there were no discernable additional factors that would need to be taken into account, and a single benchmark could be provided. Benchmarks were provided both by area and by total number of employees as shown in the table below. Where these could be verified, they compare favourably with previous research studies.

It was suggested that in order to provide appropriate guidance to the offices sector, it would be most useful to provide the typical benchmark only, encouraging facility managers to aim for a lower figure than this. This benchmark is highlighted in the table below.

Table 2.3 Benchmarks for offices

		Cubic metres per year	Litres per day (assuming 253 days per business year)
Typical use	By employee*	4.0 m ³ /employee/annum	15.8 litres/employee/day
	By area	0.6 m ³ /m ² /annum	2.4 litres/m ² /day
Best practice use	By employee*	2.0 m ³ /employee/annum	7.9 litres/employee/day
	By area	0.4 m ³ /m ² /annum	1.6 litres/m ² /day
Excessive use	By employee*	7.0 m ³ /employee/annum	27.7 litres/employee/day
	By area	0.8 m ³ /m ² /annum	3.2 litres/m ² /day

* Total employee numbers should be used even if full time equivalent employee figures are available.

Note: Figures have been rounded to form more usable benchmark figures.